

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/766,130	01/28/2004	Thanassis Warsakis	1-25023	1-25023 3147	
4859	7590 10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
	AN SOBANSKI & TO IME PLAZA FOURTH	FRISTOE JR, JOHN K			
720 WATER		PLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, O	H 43604-1619		3751		

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	TV V		
				IACCIC		
Office Action Summary	10/766,130		WARSAKIS, THAN	M2212		
Office Action Summary			Art Unit			
TI WAY INO DATE AND	John K. Frist		3754			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the co	over sneet with the cor	responaence add	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than thire. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mone earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(I	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutor statutory period will apply and will ex eply will, by statute, cause the applicat ths after the mailing date of this comm	however, may a reply be timely y minimum of thirty (30) days w pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONED (/ filed rill be considered timely mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 31 August 2004					
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non	-final.				
3) Since this application is in condit	<i>'</i> —		ecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) 15-22 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-14 and 23 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to research to research to research to research to the second to	s/are withdrawn from consi ted.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 Januar Applicant may not request that any or Replacement drawing sheet(s) included 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected.	$\sqrt{2004}$ is/are: a) \boxtimes accept bjection to the drawing(s) be the ding the correction is required	neld in abeyance. See 3 if the drawing(s) is object	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. All b) Some * c) None of the prious of the prious of the prious of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office and the certified copies of th	f: rity documents have been r rity documents have been r es of the priority document ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Application s have been received 17.2(a)).	n No in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	4) M (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (P' Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Revie Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Pate		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/766,130

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/31/2004 have been fully considered but they are not 1. persuasive. Regarding claim 23, the examiner inadvertently overlooked this claim in the previous Office action, therefore this Office action is made non-final. Regarding Applicant's argument that Burtussek et al. does not teach manufacturing the inner component (26) and the outer component (12) from mutually different materials, the examiner disagrees. One of ordinary skill in the art could determine from the disclosure of Burtussek et al. that it is possible to manufacture the inner component from a plastic (col. 2, lines 62-65) and the outer component from a metal (col. 4, lines 11-13) or vice versa. Since metals and plastics inherently have different coefficients of thermal expansion, this combination would arrive at Applicant's claimed invention. Moreover, since the disclosure of Burtussek et al. is silent to the specific plastic or metal that the inner component and outer component are constructed from, the inner component and outer component could be made of two mutually different plastics or metals that have different coefficients of thermal expansion and would therefore react to heat in the same way as Applicant's claimed invention. Regarding Applicant's argument that the inner component does not plastically deform into the groove in the outer component of Burtussek et al., the examiner disagrees. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art. the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,130 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

Specification

2. The amendment to the specification is acknowledged by the examiner, however the amendment is incomplete. The headings "BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION", "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS", "DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION", and "CLAIMS" need to be added to the specification as well. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,244,295 (Bartussek et al.). Bartussek et al. disclose a composite component comprising an inner component (26) made of a plastic (col. 2, lines 62-66) with a first coefficient of thermal expansion, an outer component (12) made of metal (col. 4, lines 11-13) having a second lower coefficient of thermal expansion, an internal diameter enlargement that are surrounded by regions having smaller diameters (groove that houses rim 54 in fig. 4), wherein the inner component and the outer component are press fit (col. 3, lines 19-20) together as well as a positive engaged (col. 4, lines 53-60), wherein the outer component (12) is a valve body (fig. 2), an inner valve seat (18), a valve element (24), an elastic element (30), wherein the inner component (26) is a cage, wherein the internal diameter enlargement is a circumferential groove

Application/Control Number: 10/766,130

Art Unit: 3754

(fig. 4), wherein the inner component has a continuous contour (54), wherein the outer component (12) is formed substantially cylindrical having an annular cross section (fig. 2), and wherein the inner component (26) is coaxial with the outer component (12).

Although the valve body does not start with a groove as claimed, the process of assembling the valve of Bartussek et al. results in the inner component being locked in the groove.

The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 15-22 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John K. Fristoe Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7: 00 a.m-4: 30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Louis G. Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,130

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John K. Fristoe Jr.

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3754

JKF

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10/13/04